

CS No.463/24
Manak Chand Tyagi Vs. Prince Tyagi

01.09.2025

Present: Mr. Ravi Gupta, Ld. Proxy Counsel for the
plaintiff.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Evidence by way of affidavit of the plaintiff and list of witnesses are filed.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the defendant that copies are supplied today at 10:45 am.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for the plaintiff requests for an adjournment on the ground that the plaintiff is unable to appear before the Court today due to personal difficulty.

Request for adjournment is opposed.

It is submitted that the plaintiff did not even give advance intimation that an adjournment will be sought.

Record is perused.

Details of personal difficulty due to which the plaintiff is unable to appear before the Court have not been disclosed. Adjournment cannot be granted merely on the asking.

Plaintiff did not even bother informing the Ld. Counsel for the defendant that he will be seeking an adjournment today.

Copy of the affidavit was not even supplied sufficiently in advance to the opposite Counsel.

However, a lenient view is taken and request for adjournment is allowed, though subject to cost of Rs.5,000/- to be paid by the plaintiff to the defendant.

Subject to payment of cost, to come up for plaintiff's evidence on 13.10.2025.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 01.09.2025