

CS 463/24

MANAK CHAND TYAGI Vs. PRINCE TYAGI

14.07.2025

Present: Mr. Mukesh Sharma and Mr. Dinesh Sharma Ld. Counsels
for plaintiff through VC.
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

List of witnesses is filed by Ld. Counsel for defendant. Copy
be supplied.

Reply to application u/o 7 Rule 14 CPC is filed by Ld.
Counsel for defendant. Copy has already been supplied.

Application of the plaintiff u/o 7 Rule 14 CPC is taken up
for consideration.

By this application, the plaintiff wants to bring on record a
pen-drive and certificate u/s 63 of Bharatiya Sakshya Adhiniyam, which
were filed belatedly.

Ld. Counsel for defendant submits that even though the
application has been opposed, since the video in the pen-drive does not
establish anything against the defendant, the defendant has not any
objection if the pen-drive and certificate are taken on record.

Record is perused. In view of the no objection given, the
application of the plaintiff u/o 7 Rule 14 CPC is allowed.

Pen-drive and certificate are taken on record.

To come up for plaintiff's evidence on 01.09.2025.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 14.07.2025