

CS 463/24

MANAK CHAND TYAGI Vs. PRINCE TYAGI

10.02.2025

Present: Sh. Raghav Awasthi Ld. Counsel for plaintiff through VC.
Sh. Mukesh Sharma Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Mordhwaj Tiwari Ld. Counsel for defendant along-with
defendant.

Affidavit for admission-denial of documents on behalf of
defendant has already been filed.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that there is no
requirement of affidavit for admission-denial of documents on behalf of
plaintiff as there is no document filed by defendant on record.

On the basis of pleadings of parties, the following issues are
hereby framed.

ISSUES

1. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of permanent
injunction as prayed for? OPP
2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for decree of
declaration as prayed for? OPP
3. Whether the plaintiff is entitled for damages amounting
to Rs.5,00,000/- as compensation for the alleged mental
agony and threats allegedly caused by the defendant?
OPP
4. Whether the present suit is bad for non-joinder of
necessary and proper parties? OPD

5. Whether the plaintiff has no locus-standi to file the present suit? OPD
6. Whether the present suit is barred by resjudicata? OPD
7. Whether the plaint has not disclosed any cause of action in favour of plaintiff? OPD
8. Relief.

No other issue arises nor pressed for nor onus of proof objected to. Both the sides to file their list of witnesses within 15 days from today.

Plaintiff to file evidence by way of affidavit with advance copy to the opposite side.

Put up for P.E. on 24.03.2025.

(Pooja Jain)
District Judge-03/NB
East/KKD Courts/Delhi
10.02.2025