

7 CS 589/20

VIKRAM CHAUHAN Vs. SUSHIL KR. SHUKLA

18.04.2024

Present : Sh. M.L.Gupta, Ld. Counsel along with PW/plaintiff.
Sh. M.K.Sharma, Ld. Counsel along with defendant.

My attention is drawn to the original of the Agreement, dated 02.07.2013, now, sought to have been placed on record under the application, moved U/o 7 rule 14 CPC and annexed with the list of documents, filed in the Court on 30.01.2024 and also to the copy of the document, dated 02.07.2013, filed in the Court on 04.11.2020 and Sh. Sharma submits that even the original document is deficiently stamped and a stamp duty of Rs.100/- is required for an 'Agreement', in terms of provisions of Stamp Duty Registration Fees and Court Fees Schedule, w.e.f. 01.08.2012, on the other hand, Sh. Gupta submits that the proper stamp required for Agreement or Memorandum of An Agreement, if not otherwise provided for; Falls in the Category/Clause (c) of Item No.5 of the Schedule-1-A, is of Rs.50/-, which appears to be correct amount.

Last opportunity is given to the defendant to submit reply with copy to Sh. Gupta in advance and against receipt and plaintiff may thereupon submit written argument/affidavit of the concerned person to explain the situation entirely.

Put up on **08.08.2024** for disposal of the application.

(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/Delhi/18.04.2024