

MACP No. 87/2018
Sapna Kumari & Ors.
vs.
Sunita Srivastava & Anr.

10.12.2019

Present : Petitioner No.1 in person with proxy counsel.
None for R1.
Sh. Rajesh Goel, counsel for R2/insurer.

Petitioners failed to comply with the last order. Petitioner no.1 is present today and she stated that she is not aware about the claim case filed in respect of the same accident in Court at Jaipur. Her separate statement to this effect is recorded.

On being asked, it is mentioned by counsel for insurer that he is having copy of claim petition filed by petitioner no.2 & 3 in Jaipur Court and as per memo of parties, petitioner no.1 is not a party in the said case.

In view of the above, petitioner no.2 & 3 are directed to file reply to the application filed by the insurance company for taking appropriate legal action against them for concealment of material facts and said application would be decided on next date.

Petitioner no. 2 and 3 are also directed to remain present in person on next date.

Put up for further proceedings on 30.01.2020.

(Vivek Kumar Gulia)
PO MACT (East)/KKD/Delhi
10.12.2019