

Baljit Singh Vs. Balbir Kaur and another.

Present : Sh. Randeep Singh Gill, Adv. ,counsel for applicants.

Sh. Gurjit Singh Adv. Counsel for the complainant.

O R D E R:-

1. This application for bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure has been filed by applicants-accused Balbir Kaur and Nirpal Kau in a complaint case under section 420 read with section 34 of the Indian Penal Code. .

2. Notice of the application was given to the complainant and the record was summoned.

3. Heard and perused the record. Vide order dated 14.11.2018 the accused has been summoned for the trial by the learned trial Court. As they did not appear before the trial Court they were summoned throughailable warrants and then through the nonailable warrants. The order dated 20.5.2019 issued by the trial Court reveals that the accused/ applicants had not appeared before the court despite the fact that the matter had been brought to the notice of their family members. As the accused/applicants had not appeared before the trial Court despite issuance of process from time to time, they are not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail. As such, without prejudice to their applying for the regular bail after surrendering before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate/Duty Magistrate, their instant application anticipatory bail, is hereby dismissed. Record of the trial court be returned, whereas the record of the bail application be consigned to record room.

Pronounced : 13.6.2019.
'mp'

(Vinod Kumar Goyal)
Additional Sessions Judge,
Amritsar
UID : PB0075