

In the Court of Sessions, Tirunelveli Division
Before the Principal Sessions Judge, Tirunelveli.
PRESENT : **Thiru.N.VENKATAVARADAN, B.L.,**
PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE, TIRUNELVELI
Tuesday, the 04th day of August 2026.
Cr.M.P.No.3327/2026
(CNR No.TNTL 0100 6279 2026)

1.Naveen, S/o.Kannan.

2.Kasiraman, S/o. Muthupandi.

...Petitioners/Accused.

/Versus /

State: The Sub Inspector of Police,
Nanguneri P.S
Cr.No.237/2026.

... Respondent/Complainant.

Petition dated:23.07.2026 filed U/s. 483 of BNSS prays to grant bail.

This petition is coming on this day for hearing before me, in the presence of
THIRU.S.MARIYAPPAN, Advocate for the petitioners and
THIRU.M.MAHARAJA, the Public Prosecutor for the state and upon hearing both
sides arguments, this Court passed the following :

ORDER

The petitioners/accused were arrested and remanded to judicial custody on
05.05.2026 for the alleged offences under Sections.25(1A) Arms and 310(4) BNS
Act, registered by respondent police, seeks bail.

The petitioners state that the petitioners are s charged for the offences under
section 25(1A) Arms and 310(4) BNS Act in Cr.No.237/2026 by the Respondent
Police. The Petitioners are innocent and he has not committed any offences. They
have been falsely implicated in this case. The alleged occurrence is said to have taken
place on 05.05.2026. FIR is pending before the Judicial Magistrate Court, Nanguneri.
According to the FIR The petitioners and other accused were found in possession of
weapons by the police party and they were planning to commit dacoity near the
compound wall of the house of one Muthuramalingam, hence this case was

registered. The petitioners are in no way connected with the offences. Petitioners are in judicial custody for the past 92 days. Petitioners are ready to abide any conditions. Therefore, petitioners seeks to enlarge them on bail.

The respondent police filed objections stating that occurrence is said to have taken place on 05.05.2026 at 07.00 am., at Nedungulam -Srirengarajapuram road, near the Farm house of one Muthuramalingam. Petitioners and other accused have been found in the scene of occurrence and they were planning to commit robbery and dacoity and they were also in possession of the weapons. Hence this case was registered and weapons were seized by the respondent police. Further the petitioners are having previous cases of 20 and 17 respectively. They are categorized as A+ rowdies and if they are released on bail, it will cause danger to the piece and harmony in the society. Accused No.1 was detained under Goonda Act and therefore prosecution objected to release the petitioners on bail.

The point for consideration is: Whether the petitioners are entitled to the relief of Bail as prayed for?

Point: Heard both sides. The petitioners' counsel contended that the detention of the petitioners under Goonda Act was revoked, whereas the learned Public Prosecutor objected the release of the petitioners on previous cases pending as against the petitioners. The petitioners' counsel further referred about the bail granted to the co-accused of this case by this Court. Upon consideration of the allegation of preparation to commit dacoity, earlier bail was granted to the co-accused of this case. Whereas the learned Public Prosecutor raised objections stating the previous antecedents and category of the petitioners as they are the A+ rowdy and apprehends about the disharmony would have been caused on the release of the petitioners. Therefore, this Court upon consideration of the previous antecedents of the petitioners and the objections of the prosecution, is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners and this petition deserves to be dismissed.

In the result, this bail petition is dismissed.

Pronounced by me in open court, this the 04th day of August 2026.

PRINCIPAL SESSIONS JUDGE,
TIRUNELVELI.