

24 CS591/2015 1812/16MOHD.IRFAN ETC. Vs. SHAHZAD AHMED

13.10.2022

Pr. Sh. Rahul Sharma, Proxy Counsel for Sh. Hari Kishan, Counsel for the plaintiff along with plaintiff No.1.

Sh. Manmohan Tiwari, Proxy Counsel for M.K. Sethi, counsel for defendant No.1 and 3.

Sh. J.K. Nayyar, Counsel for the defendant No.2.

It appears that vide order dated 19.02.2021, the Ld. Predecessor had expressed his opinion that DUSIB is a necessary party even in this matter. Arguments shall be heard on this aspect with the connected matter on 31.01.2023.

(Sanatan Prasad)

Addl. District Judge-02 (East)

Karkardooma Courts/13.10.2022