

31 MACT 132/20
SHAIFALI SAXENA Vs. GULSHAN KUMAR THROUGH LR_s
32 MACT 133/20
SHAIFALI SAXENA AND ORS Vs. GULSHAN KUMAR
THROUGH LR_s
33 MACT 177/20
BABY IVANKA SAXENA @ AINA Vs. GULSHAN KUMAR
(DECEASED)

22.08.2025

Present : Sh. Deepak Kumar, proxy counsel for petitioner.
R-2 in person.

Steps not taken by R-1.

R-2 submits that there is one eyewitness lady who is not examined before the Tribunal and she can throw light on the accident. Proxy counsel for petitioner submits that vague submission is made before the Tribunal and there is no such lady mentioned in the charge-sheet and further that it is a no DL case and driver has expired, R-2 is registered owner and LR of driver wishes to avoid the liability and it is also prayed that prior to summoning the case file of connected FIR, explanation be sought from R-1, why he is not filing certified copy of any document in the connected FIR case, he wishes to rely and further that opportunity to lead RE is already closed.

Put up for purpose fixed on 17.10.2025.

(Mayuri Singh)
PO MACT (East)/KKD
Delhi/22.08.2025