

C.S. No. 2774/16

05.03.2018

Present: None for plaintiffs.

Counsel for defendants.

Perused the earlier order sheets and heard.

Counsel for defendants submits that he has relied upon the earlier written statement itself and does not intend to file fresh written statement to the amended plaint as the change made in the amended plaint is related to the prayer clause and is formal in nature.

It is submitted that pleadings are complete and admission-denial of the documents have also been completed by filing affidavits by the parties earlier in this case and proposed issues were also filed.

Perused the affidavits of admission-denial of the documents on record and respective pleadings of the parties.

Written acknowledgment of Late Sh. K. N. Rao which plaintiffs are relying upon is Annexure P-4. Perusal of the record reveals that Annexure P-4 is a photocopy. As claim of the plaintiffs are substantial based on this documents, to come up for clarifications on original of Annexure P-4 on 27.03.2018.

A

(VIPIN KUMAR RAI)
Additional District Judge-03
East District/KKD Courts/Delhi
05.03.2018