

22.03.2023

Present: Ms. Ria Goyal, Id. Counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff.
Ms. Sharma Devi, Id. Counsel for defendant no.1 to 3.
Defendant no.4 is already ex-parte vide order dt. 17.02.2022.

Ld. Counsel for plaintiff submits that they do not wish to file replication. Accordingly, pleadings stand completed. From the pleadings of the parties, following issues are framed:-

- 1. Whether plaintiff is entitled to preliminary decree of partition thereby declaring that the plaintiff is entitled to 1/3rd share in the suit property bearing No.1/12, Khichripur, Delhi-91, as prayed for? OPP.*
- 2. Whether the plaintiff is entitled to final decree of partition thereby separating the 1/3rd share of the plaintiff in the suit property bearing No.1/12, Khichripur, Delhi-91, as prayed for OPP.*
- 3. Whether plaintiff is entitled for permanent injunction, as prayed for? OPP*
- 4. Whether defendant is the owner of the suit property by virtue of registered Will dated 29.09.2009 executed by Sh. Nathu Ram, as prayed for? OPD.*
- 5. Relief.*

No other issue arises or is pressed for.

Affidavit of evidence alongwith list of witnesses, if any be filed with advance copy to the opposite party.

At this stage, it is observed that the suit property is a

Contd...

licensed property and licensor DUSIB is not a party to the instant suit.

In these circumstances, put up for arguments on the maintainability of the suit on **28.03.2023**.

(Ajay Garg)
Additional District Judge-01
(East), KKD Court, Delhi/22.03.2023