

Rajeshwar Singh Senior Citizen Vs. Ankit Vohra

13.07.2026

Present : Sh. Pranjal Khanna, Ld. counsel for the applicant.

An application under Section 151 CPC has been filed on behalf of the applicant, Ms. Jasmine Khanna, seeking transfer of the key of suit property bearing No. A-109, Upper Ground Floor, Khasra No. 36/15, situated at Radhey Shyam Park Extension, Village Khureji Khas, Shahdara, Delhi, presently lying deposited in this Court, to the Court of Sh. Vivek Beniwal, Ld. Senior Civil Judge, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that, in the present execution petition, warrants of attachment of the movable properties of the judgment debtors (JDs) were issued. Consequently, the Bailiff was required to break open the lock of the premises for the purpose of execution and thereafter affixed a new lock, the key whereof was deposited before this Court. At the time of execution of the warrants, the Bailiff found that the JDs had already vacated the premises.

It is further submitted that the JD/Mr. Ankit Vohra was tenant of the applicant in the said premises and that an eviction/possession suit filed by the applicant against the JD namely Ankit Vohra, being CS No. 430/2026 titled *Smt. Jasmine Khanna v. Ankit Vohra*, is pending before the Court of Sh. Vivek Beniwal, Ld.

Senior Civil Judge, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi. Learned counsel accordingly prays that the key of the premises be transmitted to the said Court, where appropriate orders regarding its custody or release may be passed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the applicant, considered the submissions made, and perused the record.

The record reveals that the premises in question does not form the subject matter of the present execution proceedings except to the limited extent that the Bailiff, while executing the warrants of attachment, had broken open the existing lock and affixed a fresh lock, the key of which was deposited before this Court. The applicant is not a party to the present execution petition, and no further purpose would be served by retaining the said key on the record of the present case.

It is also pertinent to note that the dispute concerning possession of the said premises between the applicant and the JDs is already pending adjudication before the Court of Sh. Vivek Beniwal, Ld. Senior Civil Judge, East District, in CS No. 430/2026. Further, on 08.06.2026, the decree holder had also expressed no objection to the release of the said key in favour of the applicant.

In these circumstances, this Court is of the considered view that the ends of justice would be served by transmitting the key to the Court of Sh. Vivek Beniwal, Ld. Senior Civil Judge, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, to be placed on the record of the aforesaid civil suit. The learned Court concerned may thereafter

pass such orders as he may deem fit and proper in accordance with law.

Accordingly, the application under Section 151 CPC filed on 07.07.2026 stands allowed and disposed of.

The Nazir is directed to transmit the key, along with a copy of this order, to the Court of Sh. Vivek Beniwal, Ld. Senior Civil Judge, East District, Karkardooma Courts, Delhi, forthwith.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he seeks to withdraw the application under Section 151 CPC filed on 07.07.2026.

In view of the submission made, the said application is dismissed as withdrawn.

Accordingly, the application stands disposed of.

Put up on date already fixed i.e. **31.07.2026**.

(Vikas Garg)
DJ-05/East/KKD
Delhi/13.07.2026