

23 CS 2625/16

RAJ KUMAR SRIVASTAV Vs. PRABHU DAYAL
SRIVASTAV AND OTHERS

18.08.2022

Present: Sh. Bilal Ahmed, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff
along with plaintiff.

Sh. M.G. Vachar, Ld. Counsel for defendant
No. 1 to 8.

Proxy counsel Sh. Yogesh Kumar for defedant
No. 11.

None on behalf of defendant No. 9 and 10.

Arguments on application under Order 6 Rule
17 CPC have already been heard from the side of the
plaintiff.

Part arguments from the side of the defendant No. 1
and 2 on the said application heard.

The counsel for the defendant No. 1 and 2 has stated
that in the original suit the plaintiff did not properly valued
the suit for the purpose of court fees and jurisdiction and
also in the application. The said aspect has been kept vague.
Clarifications on this regard is required from the counsel of
the plaintiff.

Matter is adjourned for the clarifications and
the arguments on the said application for **24.11.2022**.

(VIJAY KUMAR JHA)
Addl. District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/ 18/08/2022