

CS 299/24

BASANT GUPTA Vs. SURAJ KUMAR GUPTA

26.09.2024

Pr.: Sh. Deepak Rana & Sh. Gaurav Rana, Ld. Counsels for the plaintiff.

Sh. Amit Baisoya, Proxy counsel for the defendant.

Replication filed.

Proxy counsel for the defendant submits that copy of replication has not been supplied to him.

Perusal of the record shows that no vakalatnama has been filed on behalf of defendant.

It is submitted by Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff that he is not aware of address and mobile number of Ld. Counsel for the defendant, so he could not supply copy of replication to the defendant.

Copy of replication is kept on record. **Ld. Counsel for the defendant is directed to collect the same from the Court. He is also directed to file vakalatnama.**

Put up on **28.10.2024** for admission-denial of documents and framing of issues.

Parties are directed to remain present in the court on NDOH for admission-denial.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
26.09.2024 (mk)