

CS 252/25

SARLA CHAUDHARY Vs. ASHOK KUMAR GUPTA

17.11.2025

Present: Sh. Satish Tomar, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff
through VC.

Mr. Akhilesh Yadav, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff in
person.

Sh. Atul Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the defendant No.1
to 5.

Written statement on behalf of defendant No.1 to 5
alongwith reply to application u/O 39 Rule 1 & 2 CPC is filed. It
is submitted by ld. Counsel for plaintiff that he has already
received copy of written statement. One application u/O 7 Rule
10 & 11 CPC is also moved on behalf of defendant. Ld. Counsel
for plaintiff submitted that he has not received copy of same. Ld.
Counsel for defendant objected to the same and stated that he has
already supplied copy of same to ld. Counsel for plaintiff. At this
stage, Ld. Counsel for defendant supplied hard copy of the
application to ld. Counsel for plaintiff who is present in court
today. Ld. Counsel for defendant stated that defendant No.6 has
already expired about 5-6 years back.

Now to come up for reply and arguments to
application u/O 7 Rule 10 & 11 CPC on 27.03.2026.

(Meenu Kaushik)
District Judge-03
Patiala House Courts, New Delhi
17.11.2025