

14 CS 213/21 PUNEET SHARMA Vs.
RAMAN SHARMA

14.05.2025

Present : Sh. Gaurav Dalal, Ld. Counsel with plaintiff in person.
Sh. Arvind Sharma, Ld. Counsel for all the defendants.

An application U/s 10 CPC has been moved on behalf of the defendants for stay of the present suit, Sh. Dalal, however, submits that he would not file any formal reply to the application and would argue in opposition thereto, straightaway. His further submission is that written statement, in the matter, has, been, filed on behalf of the defendants No.1,2&3 on 12.04.2022 and on the date of filing of the suit, the defendant No.4 was minor. Defendant No.4 is present in the Court and he shows the original documents relating to his date of birth and also files copies along with list.

Sh. Dalal, submits that he has already moved an application under Order 32 r/w/s 151 CPC, in this regard.

Sh. Dalal, further submits that the defendant No.2 appears in the case, as attorney of the defendant No.1 and even, the written statement, filed on behalf of the defendants No.1,2&3, has not been signed by the defendant No.1, as the same has been filed by the attorney.

Sh. Sharma seeks time to submit appropriate attorney, as the defendant No.1 is stated to have been working at United Kingdom.

Let a statement of the defendant No.4 be also recorded whether he elects to contest the suit, in terms of the provisions of Order 32 rule 12 CPC.

Perused.

Let the Ahlmad of the Court change the title of the suit, in terms of the provisions of the Order 32, Rule 12, (3), CPC.

Sh. Sharma states that the defendant No.4 would adopt the same written statement, as already filed on behalf of the defendants No.1,2&3, however, for this adoption, an appropriate power of attorney has to be placed on record first.

Put up on **01.08.2025** for compliance and further consideration in the matter.

(Sanatan Prasad)
District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/Delhi/14.05.2025