

**16CC No. 1306/2022**  
**MUTHOOT FINANCE LTD Vs. SAKSHINIGAM**  
**03.06.2024**

**Proceedings conducted through video conferencing via Cisco Webex App.**

**Matter taken up through Video Conferencing as per office order of Hon'ble Delhi High Court as per order no. 4/7/RG/DHC/2020 dated 27.08.2020.**

Present: Mr. Anuj Kumar, proposed AR for complainant.

Ld. Counsel for complainant submits that he has filed the application for substitution of AR physically with Reader-cum-Ahlmad. He further submits that Mr. Kapil Jain, who was the AR for the complainant when the present complaint was filed, has left the job and is succeeded by Mr. Anuj Kumar.

Heard.

In view of the submissions made, application for substitution of AR is allowed.

Perusal of the ordersheet dt. 09.06.2022 reveals that, BWs were directed to be issued against the accused. However, due to inadvertence summons were directed to be issued on 04.03.2023.

In view of the same, let fresh BWs be issued against the accused in the sum of Rs. 2,000/- with one surety, if any in the like amount through SHO concerned, returnable to this Court on **12.09.2024** only after filing of PF within 15 days.

**The order be uploaded as per rules.**

**(Pranjal Gangwar)**  
**MM (NI Act) Digital Court-02,**  
**Central, THC, Delhi**  
**03.06.2024**