

JHLT030018772020



Presented on : 23-09-2020
Registered on : 23-09-2020
Decided on : 06-07-2026
Duration : 5 years, 9 months, 13 days

IN THE COURT OF
Chief Judicial Magistrate
Latehar
Presided Over by Vikram Anand

G.R. CASES/589/2020
T.R. No.224/2026

(Arising out of Latehar P.S. Case No. 255/2019 dated 12.12.2019,
U/s 341, 342, 447 504,511 of the I.P.C.)

Complainant/Informant	Suryadeo Ravis
Represented By	Sri Sangam Kumar, Ld. A.P.P.
Accused	1. Triveni Prasad aged about 32 years (Male) S/o Janki Saw 2. Satyanarayan Prasad aged about 35 years (Male) S/o Late Balram Sao Both r/o village Chamatu, P.S. Balumath Distt. Latehar 3. Kameshwar Ram aged about 33 years (Male) S/o Bansi Ram R/o village Ganeshpur, P.S. Balumath Distt. Latehar 4. Chetlral Ram aged about 35 years (Male) S/o Bansi Ram R/o village Chamatu, P.S. Balumath Distt. Latehar
Represented By	Name of the Ld. Advocate : Sri Raman Kr. Mahto

Annexure-II

Date of Offence	12.12.2019
Date of F.I.R.	12.12.2019
Date of Charge-sheet	26.03.2021

G.R. Case No. 589/2020
CNR No.JHLT030018772020
Judgment dt. 06.07.2026

Date of explaining substance	23.09.2025
Date of Commencement of evidence	01.11.2025
Date on Judgment is reserved	N/A
Date of Judgment	06.07.2026
Date of Sentencing Order, if any	N/A

Accused Details :

Rank of the Accused	Name of the Accused	Date of Arrest/surrender	Date(s) of Released on Bail	Offences charged with	Whether Acquitted or convicted	Sentence Imposed	Period of Detention Undergone during Trial for purpose of Section 428, Cr.P.C.
1	Triveni Prasad	-	23.01.2021	U/s 341, 342, 447 504,511 of the I.P.C	Acquitted	N/A	N/A
2	Satyanarayan Prasad	-	23.12.2020				
3	Kameshwar Ram	-	05.11.2020				
4	Chetlal Ram	18.07.2020	29.09.2020				

Annexure-III

List of Prosecution/ Defence/ Court Witnesses

A. Prosecution : 04 witnesses

Rank	Name	Nature of Evidence (Eye Witness, Police Witness, Expert Witness, Medical Witness, Panch Witness, other witness)
P.W.1	Ramanuj Kumar	Independent witness
P.W.2	Upendra Kumar	Independent witness
P.W.3	Hiraman Prasad	Independent witness
P.W.4	Anil Ram	Independent witness

B. Defence Witness : No witness

C. Court Witness : No Court witness

List of Prosecution / Defence/ Court Exhibits

A. Prosecution : No Exhibit

B. Defence : No Exhibit

C. Court Exhibits : No Exhibit

D. Material Exhibits : No Exhibit

J U D G M E N T

1. All four accused namely 1. Triveni Prasad 2. Satyanarayan Prasad 3. Kameshwar Ram 4. Chetlal Ram facing trial for offence U/s 341, 342, 447 504,511 of the I.P.C. The substance of accusation have been explained to the accused in Hindi on 23.09.2025 to which they did not plead guilty and claimed to be tried.

2. Prosecution story in brief is that the informant Suryadeo Ravidas reported to the P.S that on 12.12.2019 he had gone for patrolling where few persons tried to load coal over the motorcycle and tractor. They tried to snatched their weapons and put the vehicle on fire. Due to such act hindrance have been created in the government duty. He claimed that Chetlal Ram, Triveni Prasad, Kameshwar Ram, Satyanarayan Prasad and others are involved in the occurrence.

3. On the basis of written application Officer-In-Charge Balumath P.S, instituted and registered Balumath P.S. Case No. 225/2019. Investigating Officer on completion of investigation submitted charge-sheet and case diary, on which this court on 03.05.2021 took cognizance of offence punishable U/s 341, 342, 447, 504, 511 of the I.P.C against accused.

After supplying copy of police paper, substance of accusation have been explained to the accused in Hindi on 20.12.2025 to which he did not plead guilty and claimed to be tried. After giving sufficient opportunity to the prosecution as well as after taking steps by the court, evidence for prosecution was closed on 12.05.2026 and statement of accused u/s 313 Cr.P.C is recorded in which accused pleaded innocence. On prayer evidence for defence is closed.

Heard the argument.

4. Plea of defence is false implication and innocence.

5. Prosecution has adduced and examined 04 witnesses.

Defence has not adduced and examined any witnesses.

Evidence and its appreciation

6. P.W-1 Ramanuj Kumar, P.W-2 Upendra Kumar, P.W-3 Hiranman Prasad 4. Anil Ram deposed that they have no knowledge about the occurrence. They have been declared hostile by the prosecution.

7. Apart from these four witnesses prosecution failed to bring any other witness on record even after letter to S.P., Latehar vide letter no. 264 dated 16.03.2026 and letter no. 423 dated 05.05.2026

8. Now on perusal of case record, prosecution have examined four witnesses who have not supported the occurrence. Therefore, testimony of examined witnesses have not implicated any of the persons.

9. In these circumstances prosecution story is not proved. Accordingly, all four accused namely 1. Triveni Prasad 2. Satyanarayan

G.R. Case No. 589/2020
CNR No.JHLT030018772020
Judgment dt. 06.07.2026

Prasad 3. Kameshwar Ram 4. Chetlal Ram are acquitted from all charged levelled against them. Bailors are discharged from their respective liabilities. O/c is directed to consign record in record room as per rules.

Dictated & corrected by me

**The Judgment is pronounced
in open Court.**

(Vikram Anand)
JO CODE JH00590
Chief Judicial Magistrate
Latehar.
06.07.2026

(Vikram Anand)
JO CODE JH00590
Chief Judicial Magistrate
Latehar.
06.07.2026