

CS 84/23

MEHBOOB ALI Vs. SHAKIR

10.01.2025

Pr.: Sh. Suhail Azhar, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

None for defendant.

On 27.11.2024, Proxy Counsel for defendant Ms. Babita appeared on behalf of defendant and she was directed to file the correct written statement after correcting the name of the Court and the name of parties as the same were incorrectly mentioned in the written statement provided to the Court on that day.

From perusal of file, it is clear that on 27.11.2024, one written statement was e-filed by the defendant mentioning the correct name of the Court and correct name of the parties, in the memo of parties. But, in the body of the written statement the name of parties is still wrong and has been mentioned as Dinesh Sharma vs. Suraj Sharma, however, the case is **Mehboob Ali vs. Shakir Ali through LRs**.

Today, none is present on behalf of defendant.

It is also submitted by Ld. Counsel for plaintiff that the copy of written statement, which was e-filed on 27.11.2024 has also not been supplied to him.

Considering the same, matter is adjourned for further proceedings on **11.02.2025**.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
10.01.2025 (pm)