

CS NO.307/18
BANK OF BARODA
Vs.
NIRAJ GUPTA

07.07.2022

Present : Mr. Arvind Kumar Tiwary, Ld. Counsel for the
plaintiff – Bank.

None for defendant No.1.

No one has appeared on behalf of defendant No.1
despite service by way of dasti service and affixation.

Application filed U/O V Rule 20 CPC and U/O
VI Rule 14 CPC seeking substitution of service of summon/
notice to both the defendants taken up.

Heard.

I am satisfied that both the defendants cannot be
served by way of dasti service and affixation. Accordingly, the
applications in hand is disposed of as allowed.

Let both the defendants be served by way of
publication.

Issue notice of the suit to the defendant on filing
of on PF/RC/Copies and through all acceptable modes of
services, and by way of publication of notice in the 'English'
National Newspaper (Times of India) on filing of PF and
deposit of publication expenses for **24.11.2022**. *Steps within
15 days.*

(Ajay Garg)
Additional District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/07.07.2022