

CS 183/21

BRIJ BAI Vs. NARAYAN DASS AND OTHERS

13.10.2025

Present: Mr. Agredeep Goel, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.
Mr. Nasir Ali, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.1 alongwith
defendant no.1 in person.
Defendant no. 2 to 6 are already ex-parte.
Mr. Shiv Dutt Kaushik. Ld. Counsel for defendant
no. 7.

Evidence by way of affidavit of defendant no.1 is filed.
Copy has already been supplied.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no.1 requests for an adjournment
on the ground that he has to file an application for bringing on record
certain documents.

Request for adjournment is opposed. It is submitted that
today was the second opportunity for the defendant no.1 to lead evidence.
He submits that the defendant no.1 is deliberately delaying the disposal
of the present suit. Record is perused.

Even on the last date of hearing, matter was adjourned at the
request made on behalf of defendant no.1. However, a lenient view is
taken and request for adjournment is allowed though subject to cost of
Rs.3,000/- to be paid by the defendant no.1 to the plaintiffs.

Let the cost be positively paid by the next date of hearing.

To come up for payment of cost and subject to payment of
cost, for evidence of defendant no.1 on 28.11.2025.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 13.10.2025