

22.08.2026

Present: Sh. Kunal Dagar, Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Sh. Rakesh Chandra Aggarwal, Ld. Counsel for the
defendant no.1.

Sh. Rahul Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the defendant no.2.

Ld. Counsel for both the defendants submitted that they have no objection if the additional issues are framed. Issues in the present case have already been framed on 17.08.2016 and for the sake of clarity they are produced as under:-

1. Whether plaintiff is entitled for the relief of specific performance against the defendant to execute the sale deed in respect of the suit property, as prayed for? OPP
2. Whether plaintiff is entitled for the relief of possession in respect of the suit property, as prayed for? OPP
3. Whether plaintiff is entitled for the relief of recovery of sale consideration amount to the tune of Rs.6,20,000/- with interest @ 24% per annum and damages @ Rs.5,00,000/- alongwith interest @ 24% per annum, from the date of execution of proposed sale deed till its realization? OPP
4. Whether plaintiff is entitled for the relief of permanent injunction, as prayed for? OPP
5. Whether plaintiff is entitled for the relief of damages due to increase in circle rates, which enhanced the stamp duty of

the suit property? OPP

6. Whether suit is liable to be dismissed, as plaintiff has concealed the material facts in the suit? OPD

7. Whether suit is without any cause of action? OPD

8. Whether suit is bad for non-joinder of necessary parties? OPD

9. Whether suit has not been valued properly for the purposes of Court fee and jurisdiction? OPD

From the pleading of the parties, following **additional issue** arises and is framed as under:-

10. Whether plaintiff is entitled to declaration that sale deed dt. 01.11.2013 executed by defendant no.1 in favour of defendant no.2 is null and void? OPP

11. Relief.

Put up for PE on **24.10.2026**.

(Honey)
District Judge-05(East)
Karkardooma Courts, Delhi-22.08.2026