

CA 75/2022

SANDEEP WATTAL Vs. MEETU RAINA

27.08.2024

Pr.: Sh. Saurabh Sachan, Ld. Counsel for appellant, appearing through VC.
Respondent in person.
Ms. Punam Kaul, Ld. Counsel for the respondent, appearing through VC.

Perusal of record shows that reply to appeal has been filed. However, no reply to application of condonation of delay and application under Section 340 Cr.P.C. (old) has been filed on behalf of respondent.

Ld. Counsel for the respondent, appearing through VC seeks time to file reply to aforesaid two applications. Even there is no vakalatnama filed by the counsel for the respondent appearing today through VC.

Considering the request of counsel for respondent, opportunity to file reply to application of condonation of delay and application under Section 340 Cr.P.C. (old) is granted to the respondent subject to cost of Rs.2,000/- to be paid to other side. Vakalatnama be also filed by the counsel for respondent appearing today through VC.

Put up on **27.09.2024** for reply, arguments on appeal as well as all the pending applications and payment of cost of Rs.2,000/- by respondent to the appellant.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
27.08.2024 (mk)