

9 CS. No.2625/16

Raj Kumar Srivastava Vs. Prabhu Dayal Srivastava & Ors.

19.04.2022

Present: Sh. Zakir Hussain, Ld. Proxy Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. M. G. Vachar, Ld. Counsel for defendants No.1 to 8.
Sh. Rajkumar Mittal, Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant No.11.
Ms. Anita Dwivedi, Ld. Proxy Counsel for defendant No.9 & 10.

Arguments on application filed on behalf of plaintiff under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC heard. However adjournment has been sought by the Proxy Counsel for plaintiff on the ground that main Counsel is not well.

Perusal of the amended plaint reflects that on pages 30 & 31, blanks have been left in certain paragraphs. Ld. Counsel for proposed defendant No.11 submits that no reply to the said application has been filed.

Matter is adjourned for arguments on the application under Order 6 Rule 17 CPC for **18.08.2022**.

(VIJAY KUMAR JHA)

Addl. District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/19.04.2022