

CS 243/25
ROHIT GAUTAM
Vs.
HDFC BANK LIMITED

17.11.2025

Present : Plaintiff in person. (Joined through VC)
Ms. Shreya Pathak, Ld. counsel for defendant no.1.
(Joined through VC)
Ms. Nidhi Raj Bundra, Ld. counsel for defendant
no.2.

Previous cost of Rs.2,000/- already deposited by defendant no.1 in DLSA, East, KKD. Receipt already filed and same is taken on record.

An application seeking recalling of order dated 19.08.2025 whereby right of defendant no.2 to file WS was closed already filed by the defendant no.2 on 18.09.2025. The same is taken on record. Copy already supplied.

Ld. counsel for plaintiff submits that he has instructions from plaintiff that he has no-objection, if the aforesaid application is allowed, however, subject to cost.

Though no plausible ground is given in the aforesaid application, however, to decide the lis on merits, the same is disposed of as allowed subject to cost of Rs.3,000/- to be paid to the plaintiff. The WS already filed by defendant no.2 on 11.09.2025 is taken on record. Copy already supplied.

Replication qua WS of defendant no.1 and defendant no.2 be filed within four weeks from today with

advance copy to the defendants.

Both the parties are also directed to file their affidavits of admission/ denial of documents at least two weeks prior to the next date of hearing with advance copy to each other.

An application u/O VIII Rule 1 CPC also filed by defendant no.2 on 18.09.2025. The same is taken on record.

However, Ld. counsel for defendant no.2 submits that on instructions from the defendant no.2, she wishes to withdraw the application u/O VIII Rule 1 CPC seeking condonation of delay in filing the WS. The statement of Ld. counsel for defendant no.2 is recorded separately to this effect.

In view of the statement, the application u/O VIII Rule 1 CPC dated 18.09.2025 is disposed of as withdrawn.

Put up on **02.03.2026** for completion of pleadings/ framing of issues/ proposed issues, if any.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/17.11.2025