

CS 243/25
ROHIT GAUTAM
Vs.
HDFC BANK LIMITED

11.09.2025

Present : Plaintiff no. 1 and 2 in person.
Ms. Amrita Kumari, Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 1
through VC.
Ms. Nidhi Raj Bindra and Ms. Bhavya Sehgal, Ld.
Counsels for defendant no. 2 through VC.
*Right of defendant no. 2 to file WS is already
closed vide order dated 19.08.2025.*

An application seeking condonation of delay in filing written statement already filed by defendant no. 1 via online mode on 28.08.2025. Hard copy already filed. Though, no reasons have been mentioned in the application for condoning the delay in filing WS, however, to decide the *lis* on merits, the application is disposed of as allowed subject to a cost of Rs. 2,000/- to be deposited in DLSA, East, KKD, Delhi on or before next date of hearing. Written statement already filed by defendant no. 1 is taken on record. Copy already supplied.

Replication qua written statement of defendant no. 1 be file within four weeks from today with advanced copy to defendant.

Written statement along-with an application seeking condonation of delay in filing written statement and vakalatnama already filed via online mode on 10.09.2025. Hard copy filed

today. However, since right of defendant no. 2 to file WS is already closed vide order dated 19.08.2025, the written statement is not taken on record.

Ld. Counsel for defendant no. 2 seeks some time to take appropriate steps.

Put up for further proceedings on **17.11.2025**.

(Hem Singh)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/11.09.2025