

CS 65/23
VIPIN GUPTA Vs. DEPENDER RAWAT

22.04.2024

Pr.: Plaintiff in person with counsel Sh. S.K.Tiwari.
Sh Ankit Gupta, counsel for the defendant.

An amendment application has been filed on behalf of plaintiff on 19.04.2024. Copy supplied to defendant today.

Defendant wants to file reply to the said application.

Let reply be filed by defendant on or before the NDOH with copy thereof to the other side, atleast one week in advance.

Put up for reply and arguments on amendment application of plaintiff on **08.07.2024**.

Previous cost of Rs.2,000/- has not been paid by the plaintiff to the defendant. Plaintiff submits that he will pay cost to the defendant today itself against acknowledgment.

If the cost is not paid by the plaintiff to the defendant today itself, he shall pay further cost of Rs.1,000/- to the defendant.

Put up up again at 2.00 p.m. for confirmation regarding payment of cost by the plaintiff to the defendant.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
22.04.2024 (mk)

At 3.15 p.m.

Pr. None.

None has appeared after lunch. The plaintiff has not told the court about payment of previous cost of Rs.2,000/- to the

defendant. No receipt placed on record. Therefore, further cost of Rs.1,000/- is imposed on the plaintiff to be paid to the defendant. Previous cost of Rs.2,000/- and today's cost of Rs.1,000/- be paid to the defendant on next date of hearing.

Put up for reply and arguments on amendment application of plaintiff on **08.07.2024**.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
22.04.2024 (mk)