

CS 65/23

VIPIN GUPTA Vs. DEPENDER RAWAT

05.02.2024

Pr. Plaintiff in person with counsel Sh. S.K.Tiwari.

Sh. Ankit Gupta, Ld. Counsel for the defendant.

Ld. Counsel for the defendant has submitted that he had paid cost of Rs.5,000/- on 17.10.2023 to Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff submits that he does not remember whether cost has been paid but if Ld. Counsel for the defendant is saying that he had paid the cost, he is ready to accept that cost has been paid.

Since cost of Rs.5,000/-, as per Ld. Counsel for defendant had been paid on 17.10.2023, cost of Rs.2,000/- imposed on the defendant on last date of hearing i.e. 08.01.2024 is waived off.

From perusal of plaint, it appears that allegations of plaintiff is that he had given a sum of Rs.7.00 Lakhs to late Sh Bal Mukund Rawat, who expired in the year 2022, but present case has been filed by the plaintiff against accused Depender Rawat, who is son of late Sh. Bal Mukund Rawat. It appears that this case has been filed against defendant Depender Rawat in his personal capacity and not as LR of late Sh Bal Mukund Rawat.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff seeks time to peruse the plaint and submit clarification.

At request, put up for further proceedings on **01.03.2024**.

(Shail Jain)
Principal District & Sessions Judge,
East District, KKD Courts, Delhi.
05.02.2024 (mk)