

CA No. 214/2023
Md. Zakir Rashid Vs. State

17.02.2026

Present : Appellant in person with counsel Mr. Nadeem
Abbas.

Mr. R. K. Gurjar, Chief Public Prosecutor
(Officiating) for the State/ respondent.

1. The counsel for the appellant has submitted that he may be granted time to take instructions from the appellant as to whether or not he wishes to pursue the appeal per se or whether or not he wishes to pursue the prayer in respect of the sentence only. He has also sought adjournment for producing the judgments relevant to the facts in hand wherein a convict can be released on probation
2. Adjournment is requested on behalf of both the sides for filing relevant judgments and for addressing arguments on the appeal.
3. Considered.
4. **Matter be listed for filing of relevant judgments, if any and for arguments on the appeal on 07.04.2026 (date is given as requested by the counsel for the appellant as he is not available earlier).**

(NIVEDITA ANIL SHARMA)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
South East District, Saket Courts,
New Delhi. 17.02.2026 (PB)