

32 MACT 131/25  
PRASHANT KUMAR TYAGI Vs. RAHUL

09.04.2025

**Fresh claim petition filed. It be checked and registered.**

**Present:** Kumar Shivam, Ld. Counsel for petitioner alongwith petitioner.

Petitioner was examined under Section 168 of Bhartiya Sakshya Adhiniyam.

It is seen that the Ghaziabad address of petitioner as mentioned in the memo of parties is incorrect. He is directed to file on record the address proof w.r.t the Mayur Vihar address, including photographs of the tenanted portion of the premises. Petitioner is directed to file amended memo of parties clearly specifying the correct present and permanent address of his.

Be put up for filing of amended memo of parties and further consideration on **10.09.2025**.

(Mayuri Singh)  
P.O. MACT (East)/Delhi  
09.04.2025