

CA No. 64/2026

M/S Impact Solutions Pvt. Ltd. vs. Sujit Kumar

05.06.2026

Present: Ms. Mala Kumari Sharma, advocate for appellant/
complainant.

None for respondent.

Summons sent to respondent Sujit Kumar received back unserved with the report that premises was found locked and this house belongs to Sanjay Tiwari.

Summons sent to Sh. Sanjeev Kumar, advocate who was representing the respondent in the Trial Court has reported that the respondent was not in his contact for the long time. Still the process server has sent the copy of the notice on his whatsapp.

The Trial Court record shows that the respondent being the proprietor of M/s Exim Global Trading Corporation was having address of 40-D, ED Block, Near Madhuban Chowk, Pitampura, New Delhi and also at 78-B, Chetak Apartments, Sector-9, Rohini, Delhi. Ahlmad is directed to issue court notice to the respondent at the above addresses.

The respondent was also having one bank account No. 094127602006 in Hongkong and Shanghai Bank Corporation Ltd., R-47, GK-1, New Delhi. Let a notice be issued to the Bank Manager to inform whether this account is still in operation or has been closed and what is the address of the account holder Sujit Kumar as per their record.

There is one document on trial court record also which shows that the respondent was having email eximglobal@gmail.com. Let the ahlmad to issue court notice at this email address

also.

Smt. Meenu Kumar, wife of the respondent had given surety bond for her husband and also produced copy of one FDR of Rs.25,000/- bearing No. 217198 issued by Bank of Baroda, Badli branch on 04.09.2006. Let the notice be issued to the Bank Manager of this bank to inform whether Smt. Meenu Kumar is still having any account in his branch and what is the address of her available in the branch.

After the acquittal of the respondent, a bail bond under Section 437-A Cr.P.C. was furnished by one Sh. Wahid Ali s/o Sh. Sahid Ali R/o E-341, Gali No. 15, Shri Ram Colony, Delhi for sum of Rs. 20,000/- on 31.05.2016 who described himself as family friend of the respondent. Let notice be issued to this surety also to produce the respondent in the court.

Meanwhile the complainant/appellant is also directed to trace out any other address of the respondent.

Put up this matter on **18.07.2026**. In case the appellant requires any dasti process, the same can be given. Since, the notices are being issued by the court as court notices, so there is no requirement of deposit of any PF.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
East District, KKD Delhi/05.06.2026