

EX 59/25
MADHU PATHAK Vs. GAJENDRI

10.11.2025

Present: Sh. Ram Naresh and Sh. Govind Srivastava, Ld. Counsels
for the decree holder.

JD in person.

Heard. Case file perused.

It is noted that earlier warrant of attachment of movable property could not be executed as JD has not allowed the bailiff to enter her house and abused him. It appears that warrant of attachment of movable property without aid and assistance of police.

On filing of PF & RC, issue warrants of attachment of the movable assets mentioned in the list filed alongwith the execution petition.

The concerned SHO is directed to render all assistance to ensure that no resistance is put to the execution of warrants.

Endorsement to this effect be made on the warrants.

Copy of this order be given dasti to the Ld. Counsel for decree holder so that he can bring it to the notice of concerned SHO for compliance.

To come up before the Ld. ACJ for appointment of Bailiff on 01.12.2025 and before this Court on 19.12.2025.

(Ravinder Singh-I)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 10.11.2025