

16.07.2025

Present: Mr. Anuj Kr. Garg Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Mr. Arjun Ghai Ld. Proxy Counsel for defendants.

Affidavits of plaintiffs no.1 & 8 for admission and denial of documents are filed. Copies are supplied.

Ld. Proxy Counsel for defendants requests for an adjournment on the ground that the Ld. Main Counsel for the defendants is abroad. Request for adjournment is opposed.

Attention of the Court is drawn to the order dated 10.07.2024 by the Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff.

Record is perused.

On 10.07.2024 also, adjournment was sought by the defendants on the ground that their counsel is abroad.

Record discloses that the case has already been adjourned several times due to the defendants. If the Ld. Counsel for defendants had plans of travelling abroad, he should not have taken today's date of hearing.

If the travel of the Ld. Counsel was finalized after the last date of hearing, he should have intimated the opposite counsel in advance that an adjournment will be sought today.

This is besides the fact that he should not even have made travel plans unless it was something unavoidable, since his present case was listed today. If the reasons for his travelling abroad were

unavoidable, those reasons should have been disclosed to the Court today.

Also, if the Ld. Counsel for the defendants had to travel abroad, he should have prepared and filed the affidavit for admission and denial of documents in advance before he left for his visit abroad so that effective hearing could have taken place today by framing of issues.

However, a lenient view is taken and request for adjournment is allowed though subject to cost of Rs.5,000/- to be paid by the defendants to the plaintiff.

To come up for payment of cost, filing of affidavit by the defendants for admission and denial of documents and framing of issues on 10.09.2025.

(Shirish Aggarwal)
District Judge-03
East District, KKD Courts,
Delhi, 16.07.2025