

CS 177/25

MANISHA CHHABRA Vs. NISHA

27.04.2026

Present: Sh. Vineet Aggarwal, counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Rahul Sharma, counsel for defendant along with
defendant.

Arguments on leave to defend application have been heard. During arguments, counsel for defendant admitted that no reply of the legal notice was given and also pointed out that the notice given for the proceedings under Section 138 of NI Act was wrong due to mentioning of the incorrect particulars. No written complaint to police or any authority has been given by the defendant regarding misuse of her cheque. In the proceedings under Section 138 of NI Act, notice under Section 251 CrPC is reported to have been framed.

Put up this matter on **15.05.2026** for orders on the said leave to defend application.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
East District, KKD Delhi/27.04.2026