

IN THE COURT OF MR. SATYABRATA PANDA, DJ-04,
PATIALA HOUSE COURTS, NEW DELHI

2 CS No. 59427 of 2016

ABNASH CHANDER CHADHA Vs. BALBIR KR. CHADHA
AND ANR.

09.07.2024

Present: Mr. J.C. Mahindro and Mr. Abhishek Kumar, Ld.
Counsels for plaintiff.
Mr. Gigi George and Mr. Gaurav Shawel, Ld.
Counsel for defendants.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has paid costs of
Rs.15,000/- in cash to the ld. Counsel for the defendants.

Ld. Counsel for the defendants has submitted that
despite directions in the order dated 01.04.2024 passed by the
Hon'ble High Court of Delhi in CM(M) No. 1540/19, the
plaintiff has not moved any appropriate application in respect of
the examination of the witnesses in rebuttal.

Ld. Counsel for the plaintiff has submitted that he
did not file the application in as much as the evidence is being
recorded together in CS No. 59427 of 2016 and CS No. 59428 of
2016, and that the defendant no. 1 herein who is the plaintiff in
CS No. 59428 of 2016 has expired, and that the plaintiff herein
was awaiting the application for impleadement by the legal
representatives of the plaintiff in CS No. 59428 of 2016.

I see no reason why the plaintiff herein should be
waiting for the application by the LRs of the plaintiff in CS No.
59428 of 2016 to move his application for examination of the
witnesses in rebuttal in terms of the order dated 01.04.2024 of the
Hon'ble High Court.

Be that as it may, let the application in terms of the

Cont..../-2-

-2-

order dated 01.04.2024 be filed within 2 weeks with advance copy to the other side.

Let reply to any such application be filed within 15 days thereafter with advance copy to the other side.

Re-list for consideration of the application, if filed, on **02.09.2024**.

(SATYABRATA PANDA)
District Judge-04
Judge Code- DL01057
PHC/New Delhi/09.07.2024