

9 CS 64/20

KUNTA DEVI ETC Vs. ASHOK KUMAR ETC

20.11.2023

Present : Sh. Sachet Sharma, Ld. Counsel for plaintiff.
Sh. Puneet Tandon, Ld. Counsel for defendants Ashok
and Shashi with defendant Shashi.
None for other defendants on call at 11.22am.

Rejoinder is not being filed, now, need not be filed.

Heard arguments on the application. Sh. Tandon states that the proposed amendment changes the nature of the present suit entirely and therefore, cannot be allowed, on the other hand, Sh. Sharma submits that the Court can very well allow the amendment as the incident / alienation has happened during the pendency of the suit and the defendant No.1 has wrongfully conveyed the property in question to the proposed defendant No.4, i.e., his wife. It is not clear as to why DDA, as proposed defendant no.5 has been arrayed in the matter and plaintiff is directed to place on record a clear memo of the parties deleting DDA therefrom for passing orders in the matter on **02.12.2023**.

(Sanatan Prasad)
Additional District Judge-02 (East)
Karkardooma Courts/Delhi/20.11.2023