

25 ARBTN CASES 26/20
VISHAL KAMAL AND OTHERS Vs. HINDUSTAN
PETROLEUM THROUGH AR

05.06.2026

Present: Sh. Mohd. Safdar Imam, Ld. counsel for petitioner.
Sh. Naveen Reheja, Ld. counsel for the respondent
(through VC).

Vide order dt. 22.01.2025, Ld. Predecessor had observed that the approval as mentioned in the award has not been filed by the respondents.

Ld. counsel for respondents submits that he has filed “file note” at page number 192 to 213 of the written submissions, which is the approval.

Ld. counsel for petitioner submits that the same can not be construed to be an approval as it does not bear the signatures of the Director.

Ld. counsel for respondent submits that he is not able to hear the submissions of Ld. counsel for petitioner. On the next date, either, both Ld. counsels shall join the proceedings through VC or both shall appear in person.

At this stage, Ld. counsel for petitioner has filed an order passed by Hon’ble High Court of Delhi, titled as Kotak Mahindra Bank Ltd. vs. Narendra Kumar Prajapat. Copy for the respondent is kept on record. Same be supplied against due acknowledgement.

Renotify the matter for arguments on objections on
25.08.2026.

(SHUCHI LALER)
District Judge-02 (East),
Karkardooma Courts/ 05.06.2026