

CS No.2168/2017

Satish Kumar Grover Vs. Krishan Kumar Grover & Ors.

03.05.2018

Present: Mr. H.S. Nagpal, counsel for plaintiff with plaintiff in person.
Defendant No.1 in person.

Defendant No.2 is served as AD card received back bearing signature of defendant No.3. Summons issued to defendant No.2 (a) (b) received back with the report of refusal, accordingly, they are deemed to be served.

Defendant No. 2 (c) and (d) still unserved, plaintiff is directed to take steps for service of the said defendants for the next date of hearing.

It is submitted by counsel for plaintiff that he has not been supplied with the copy of written statement. Defendant No.1 is directed to supply the same directly in the chamber of counsel for plaintiff after supplying address of the chamber. Address of chamber is supplied by counsel for plaintiff.

Put up for further proceedings on 17.05.2018.

Counsel for plaintiff has pointed out that written statement has not been filed within limitation.

(S.P.S. LALER)
ADJ-01 (Central)THC, Delhi
03.05.2018
(P)