

Serial No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court COURT OF C.J.M., RANCHI <u>Misc. Criminal Application No. 4908/2026</u> Dhurwa PS Case No. 197/2026	Office notice taken with date
	Contd.2 11.08.2026	deep roots in society and no likelihood of absconding. Without admitting the allegations, even if the prosecution case is taken at its highest for the purpose of the present bail application, the only overt act attributed to the applicant is throwing ink during a political protest. The complaint itself indicates a political/organizational motive and does not allege any sexual, indecent or gender-directed intention. Section 79 BNS is also not attracted, as the complaint does not identify any word, sound, gesture or exhibition of an object intended to insult the modesty of a woman, nor any intrusion upon her privacy. A political insult or act of protest, however objectionable, cannot automatically constitute an offence against a woman's modesty in the absence of the requisite statutory intention. No ingredient of Section 353(2) BNS is disclosed, as the complaint does not attribute to the applicant the making, publication or circulation of any statement or report containing false information, rumour or alarming news, nor does it identify any two religious, racial, linguistic, regional, caste or community groups between whom enmity, hatred or ill-will was intended or likely to be created. Mere political disagreement with an individual or organization does not satisfy the said provision. The allegation under Section 61(2) BNS is vague and omnibus. The complainant merely states that he subsequently learnt through his organization that other persons were involved. No alleged conspirator, meeting, communication, agreement or material demonstrating a meeting of minds has been disclosed. The applicant is the only person allegedly apprehended, and a bald allegation of conspiracy	

Serial No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court COURT OF C.J.M., RANCHI <u>Misc. Criminal Application No. 4908/2026</u> Dhurwa PS Case No. 197/2026	Office notice taken with date
	Contd.3 11.08.2026	cannot justify continued detention. Without admitting the occurrence, the allegation at its highest may invite consideration under Section 133 BNS, which is bailable and non-cognizable. Sections 126(2), 79, 133 and 315 BNS are bailable, while the foundational ingredients of Sections 74 and 353(2) BNS are absent from the written complaint. The complaint has not been lodged by the woman whose modesty is alleged to have been outraged, but by an office-bearer of the organization. Though this does not bar registration of an FIR, the complaint contains no assertion that the applicant's act had any sexual, indecent or gender-directed character. The petitioner has no criminal antecedents, is not a flight risk, and is willing to comply with any conditions imposed by the Court. Pre-trial detention is intended to secure the accused's presence and not to inflict punishment. The petitioner satisfies the settled considerations for grant of bail, including availability for trial, absence of antecedents, and no likelihood of tampering with evidence. The petitioner has remained in custody since 08.08.2026 and, therefore, may kindly be enlarged on bail. Ld. A.P.P. opposed the bail but fairly submitted that the alleged occurrence took place while protest of the several groups of student and if bail would be considered the condition may be imposed against this petitioner to maintain the peace and privacy with the victim in this case. The prosecution case, in brief, is that the informant, Santosh Kumar, State Secretary of the All India Student Association (AISA), participated in a programme scheduled on 07.08.2026 for gherao of the Jharkhand Legislative Assembly. To	

Serial No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court COURT OF C.J.M., RANCHI <u>Misc. Criminal Application No. 4908/2026</u> Dhurwa PS Case No. 197/2026	Office notice taken with date
	Contd.4 11.08.2026	facilitate the programme, AISA Central President, Ms. Neha, had come from Delhi. It is alleged that when the procession had proceeded about 200–250 metres from Shaheed Maidan towards Mausī Bari at around 14:30 hours, a young man, along with certain others, allegedly joined the procession with a premeditated intention and attempted to assault the Central President, Ms. Neha, and State President, Ms. Vibha Pushp Deep. Upon failing to assault them, he allegedly threw black ink on their faces and bodies. The act is alleged to have been deliberate and pre-planned. It was subsequently learnt within the organisation that the said individual was allegedly accompanied and assisted by several other persons. Immediately thereafter, the situation became tense, causing commotion and panic among those present. The security personnel intervened, brought the situation under control, and apprehended the alleged assailant. The incident is alleged to have been planned and carried out with the involvement of others, disrupting the peaceful procession and intimidating the office-bearers of the organization. Hence, the present case and FIR. Having heard both the sides and perused the case record, it appears that the petitioner is named in the FIR for the offence U/ss- 126(2), 353(2), 74, 79, 133, 3(5), 61(2) of B.N.S. 2023, out of which all sections are bailable except section 74 and 353(2) of BNS. Admittedly, this case was not registered on the statement of victim herself, rather, registered on the statement one of her organization office bearer. Further, it appears that occurrence took place while student protest of different groups and ideology and except threw ink on body of the victim, there is	

Serial No.	Date of Order of proceeding	Order with signature of the court COURT OF C.J.M., RANCHI <u>Misc. Criminal Application No. 4908/2026</u> Dhurwa PS Case No. 197/2026	Office notice taken with date
	Contd.5 11.08.2026	no physical contact between the petitioner and victim, which lacks intent to outrage the modesty on part of the petitioner, at this stage. So far the section 353(2) of BNS is concerned, in FIR there is no any alleged statement of the petitioner which promote hostility between group. There is no prayer on behalf of the I.O. regarding custodial interrogation of the petitioner till yet. It also agreed on behalf of the petitioner that he is ready to cooperate with the I.O. and filed undertaking to restrain himself from further indulgence and the repetition of offence. The petitioner is a student and in custody since 08.08.2026. Regard being had to the above facts and circumstances, petitioner Amarnath Pandey is directed to be released on bail furnishing bail bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of the like amount each with condition that one of the bailors must be close relative of the petitioner and and he will cooperate with I.O. in this case. Besides this, the petitioner shall filed following undertaking alongwith the bail bond that :- (I) The petitioner shall not indulged himself in similar nature of the offence. (II) The petitioner shall not came into direct or indirect contact, and communication with the victim through social media, whatsapp, Message, Facebook, electronic communication etc. (III) The petitioner shall not visit the residence, work place or breach the privacy of the victim (IV) Petitioner shall not publish, circulate, forward or pass any statement, comment regarding victim intended to cause harassment, intimidation or humiliation of her privacy. (V) The petitioner shall maintain peace and good behaviour (Dictated) Sd/- (S.B. Sharma) C.J.M., Ranchi JO Code JH-0593	