

**9 CS 40/26 RUCHIKA Vs. SUDERSHAN MALIK**

**13.03.2026**

Present: Plaintiff with Ld. Counsel Mr. Mohit Kumar.

Summons of the suit issued to the defendant at his Jagatpuri address through ordinary mode received back unserved with the report “despite repeated visits, premises found locked”, whereas summons sent through speed post at Jagatpuri address received back unserved with the report “door closed”. Further, summons from the shop address received back unserved with the report that “no such person has any concern with the shop/premises”.

Plaintiff submits that defendant is very much residing at the Jagatpuri address and prayed for service of summons upon the defendant through affixation. Heard.

In view of above and considering the facts and circumstances, on filing of PF & RC, issue fresh summons of the suit for settlement of issues as well as notice of application u/o XXXIX Rules 1 and 2 of CPC for service upon the defendant at Jagatpuri address through all permissible modes returnable by the next date of hearing.

Process server is directed to affix the summons on the premises of the defendant, if the premises found closed/locked.

To come up on 29.04.2026

(Ravinder Singh - I)  
District Judge-03/East District,  
KKD Courts, Delhi/13.03.2026/j