

EX.No. 14/25
Jitender Yadav vs. Pawan Kumar Sharma

18.04.2026

Present: Sh. Karanjeet Kumar, Sh. Ishank Shukla and Sh. Ujjwal Agarwal, advocates for decree holder.
JD appeared in court whereas Sh. Kailash Sharma, advocate joined in VC.

The counsel for decree holder has placed on record the order of the Hon'ble High Court dated 16.04.2026 which shows that the appeal filed against the decree has been dismissed. There is a reference of the order passed by this court dated 24.03.2026 also in the order of the Hon'ble High Court by which the objections filed were dismissed by this court.

Nazir has submitted the calculation report which shows that as on date, balance amount of Rs.2,55,761/- is still left payable by the JD after deducting the amount of Rs.1,50,000/- deposited by him.

Let this amount of Rs.1,50,000/- deposited by the JD in the form of two drafts of Rs.1,00,000/- dated 13.02.2026 and Rs.50,000/- dated 12.03.2026 in the name of the court be released to the decree holder after giving instructions to the concerned issuing bank IDFC First Bank, Vikas Marg branch to credit the amount of these drafts in the bank account of the decree holder. The decree holder will deposit these two drafts in his own account alongwith copy of this order, so that the amount of the same can be credited in his own account.

JD requested for calling the decree holder for exploring the possibility of compromise but counsel for the decree holder

informed that he is not interested for any settlement. However, due to insistence of the JD, the counsel for the decree holder stated that matter be passed over and decree holder will personally appear in the court.

Be awaited for the decree holder at 11:30 a.m.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
East District, KKD Delhi/18.04.2026

At this stage at 11:30 a.m., decree holder with Sh. Karanjeet Kumar, Sh. Ishank Shukla and Sh. Ujjwal Agarwal, advocates appeared. Sh. Kailash Sharma, advocate joined in VC. However, the JD is absent at present.

Counsel for the JD informed that JD has talked with decree holder on phone through someone but decree holder present in the court stated that he is not ready to settle the matter or to give any concession to the JD and wants the entire decreed amount.

Counsel for the JD stated that decree holder is under some influence and time of one week be given so that JD can directly talk with him again and convince him.

Put up this matter on **02.05.2026** for making entire balance payment by the JD to the decree holder failing which coercive action will be taken. Original two drafts and copy of this order be given to the decree holder dasti.

(Ashwani Kumar Sarpal)
Principal District & Sessions Judge
East District, KKD Delhi/18.04.2026