

EX 50/16
MAM CHAND
Vs.
U O I

10.05.2024

Present : Mr. K.C. Shakya, Ms. Prnana Singh and Mr. Sonu Kumar, Ld. Counsel for the DHs.

Mr. Satish Sharma, Ld. Counsel for Union of India.

Mr. J.K. Sinha, Ld. Counsel for Delhi Jal Board.

Arguments heard on the application U/O I Rule 10 CPC.

Ld. Counsel for non-applicant i.e. DJB submits that DJB has no role in the instant petition since the amount has already been attached. Further, they are neither party in the original decree nor party in the present petition.

Further, counsel for Union of India who moved the application U/O I Rule 10 CPC for impleading DJB as party in the instant matter submits that he has no-objection, if DJB is discharged in the present matter.

In view of the submissions made by counsel for Union of India at bar, the application U/O I Rule 10 CPC stands disposed of and non-applicant- DJB stands discharged in the instant petition.

On perusal of the present petition, it is observed that the copy of judgment/ decree for which present execution

is sought has not been placed on record, though the present petition is pending since 2006.

In the interest of justice, one last and final opportunity is granted to the DH to file the decree/ judgment in respect of which present execution is sought within ten days from today, failing which the present matter shall be dismissed for non-prosecution.

At request, put up for filing of judgment/ further proceedings on **24.05.2024**.

(Ajay Garg)
District Judge-01
(East)/KKD/Delhi/10.05.2024