

**IN THE COURT OF THE PRINCIPAL DISTRICT AND
SESSIONS JUDGE, CHENGALPATTU**

Present : Tmt.J.Mavis Deepika Sundaravadhana, M.A., M.L.,
Principal District and Sessions Judge, Chengalpattu.

Date: Wednesday, the 26th day of March 2025.

Crl.M.P. No.895 of 2025
(CNR No. TNCG01-001472-2025)

Ashok Kumar, S/o.Radhakrishnan,
Aged 35 years,

.. Petitioner/Accused.

//Versus//

State of Tamilnadu,
Rep. by the Inspector of Police,
Pallikaranai Police Station,
Cr. No.78 of 2025
U/s.406, 420 of IPC.

.. Respondent/Complainant

This petition has been filed U/s.480 & 483 of B.N.S.S. 2023, praying to grant bail to the petitioner.

This petition coming on this day for final hearing before me, in the presence of M/s.S.Vignesh, the learned counsel for the petitioner/accused, and of M/s.R.Thirumurugan, the learned Public Prosecutor appeared for the respondent/complainant, upon hearing both sides and upon perusal of case records, this Court made the following

O R D E R

This petition has been filed by the petitioner/accused seeking bail concerned in Cr. No.78 of 2025 of Pallikaranai Police Station, which registered for the alleged offences U/s.406, 420 of IPC.

The learned counsel for the petitioner would submit that a false case has been foisted against the petitioner/accused. The earlier bail application was dismissed by the learned Judicial Magistrate No.II, Alandur in Crl.M.P.

No.1595 of 2025 dated 13.03.2025. Now, the petitioner is in judicial custody for the past 44 days, i.e., from 11.02.2025 and prays to grant bail to the petitioner.

On the other hand, the learned Public Prosecutor strongly objected to grant bail to the petitioner stating that, it is the case of criminal breach of trust and cheating. This petitioner along with other accused were running chit fund finance business, and persuaded the defacto complainant in the chit business and collected money from him. However, even after completing the chit maturity date, the accused have failed to repay the amount. Further the prime accused Mahalakshmi obtained loan of Rs.5,00,000/- from the defacto complainant, but failed to repay the said loan amount thereby cheated the defacto complainant to the tune of Rs.9,50,000/-. Like wise, the accused have cheated so many victims to the tune of Rs.80,20,000/-. He further submitted that, since the amount involved in the offence is huge, steps are being taken to transfer the case to the file of Central Crime Branch and prays for the dismissal of the petition. He also filed the written objection of the Inspector of Police.

Heard both sides and records perused.

It is alleged, this petitioner along with other accused were running a chit fund finance business, and the accused have collected chit amount from the defacto complainant and other victims. However, even after the chit maturity period, the accused failed to repay the chit amount, thereby cheated the defacto complainant and other victim to the tune of Rs.80,20,000/-. The contention of the learned Public Prosecutor is that, since the amount involved in the offence is very huge, steps are being taken to transfer the case to the file of the Central Crime Branch Police.

Therefore, considering the gravity and nature of the offence, amount involved in the offence is huge, the case is going to be transferred to the file of the Central Crime Branch, the strong objection raised by the learned Public Prosecutor, facts and circumstances of the case, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner. **Accordingly, this petition is dismissed.**

Pronounced by me in open Court on this the 26th day of March 2025.

Principal District and Sessions Judge,
Chengalpattu.