

CNR No. DLCT11-001296-2025
CBI No. 93/2025
CBI Vs. Dal Chandra
RC0032025A0044 Dated 04.08.2025
CBI/ACB/ND
U/s 7 of PC Act

17.04.2026

Present : Sh. Neelmani, Ld. Substitute P.P for CBI.
I.O is not present.

Sh. Dalvir Lal, AO/DEMS, MCD, Najafgarh Zone.

It is informed on behalf of CBI that the previous I.O has since been transferred and the HIO is also not available.

The report is filed by Administrative Officer, DEMS, MCD, Najafgarh Zone, in terms of previous order. The same is taken on record.

The report was sought vide order dated 14.03.2026 seeking report clarifying the reasons for bifurcation of the terminal benefits despite the approval accorded by the Competent Authority on the Administrative Note (D-12).

The issue is already addressed by the reports filed on behalf of MCD on the last date of hearing as well as today. The reasons for bifurcation of the GPF and GIS claims was on account of handling of the said dues by multiple sections at MCD office. Accordingly, the MCD was directed to look into the said issue systemic flaws in their system which hindered the release terminal benefits to the deceased employee for more than five years. The said issue seems to have been taken care of by issuing the SOP dated 28.04.2025 (Annexure- C). The report of the MCD is accordingly taken on record.

Arguments on the point of cognizance are heard.

ORDER ON COGNIZANCE

1. The facts of the present case in brief are that the present **RC0032025A0044** was registered on written complaint dated 04.08.2025 made by complainant Gaurav Chandoliya. It was alleged in the complaint that complainant's grandmother late Smt. Mishri was working with MCD and after her death, gratuity amount was to be released in complainant's father account. However, father of the complainant also died and gratuity amount of Rs. 8,38,565/- was transferred into complainant's mother account. The remaining amount was pending with MCD. It was further alleged in the complaint that when the complainant approached the accused working as UDC, MCD, Najafgarh Zone for release of the gratuity amount, he demanded 10% of the total amount already received in the account of complainant's mother. As the complainant did not wish to pay the bribe, he made the present complaint.

1.2 The verification of the said complaint established that accused was demanding Rs.50,000/- from the complainant. Accordingly a trap was laid on 04.08.2025 and accused Dal Chandra, Junior Secretariat Assistant/LDC working on contractual basis was caught red-handed while demanding and accepting the bribe amount of Rs.50,000/- from the complainant in the presence of independent witnesses. The tainted bribe amount was recovered by the independent witness from the accused who had dropped the same on the road from his hand. During the course of investigation, accused/applicant was arrested on 05.08.2025.

1.3 Vide order dated 16.09.2025, the accused was admitted to regular bail.

1.4 After completion of the investigation, the chargesheet in the matter is filed against the accused herein.

2. Ld. Substitute Public Prosecutor for CBI argued that there is sufficient material on record to summon the accused to face trial for the offences alleged in the chargesheet.

3. **Heard and considered.**

4. The contents of the chargesheet are perused which at this stage, *prima facie* discloses sufficient material on record to take the cognizance for the offence punishable under Section 7 of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1988. The sanction for prosecution has been accorded by the Competent Authority on 13.11.2025. Accordingly, the cognizance of the offence as stated-herein-above is taken.

Issue summons to accused Dal Chandra for the next date of hearing.

Be listed for appearance/ consideration on **4th May 2026.**

(GAGANDEEP SINGH)
Special Judge, PC Act, CBI-04
Rouse Avenue District Courts,
New Delhi:17.04.2026