

(PHYSICAL HEARING)

CBI Vs. M/s. Green Valley Plywood Ltd.

CC No. 278/2019

RC No. 6(E)/2015/BD1/CBI/BS&FC/ND

22.10.2020

Present : Sh. V. K. Pathak, Ld. PP for CBI along with IO Inspector
Aalok Tewari.

Sh. Sahil Sharma, Ld. Counsel for A-1 to A-5 and A-7 to
A-10.

Sh. Sheikh F. Kalia, Ld.Counsel for A-6.

Sh. Sewa Ram, Ld. Counsel for A-11.

Sh. Prem Chhetri, Ld. Counsel for A-12.

Accused Jagmohan Kejriwal (A-2) for self and for M/s.
Green Valley Plywood Ltd. (A-1), Anju Kejriwal (A-3),
Mukesh Sharma (A-4) for self and for M/s. Pine Decor
Pvt, Ltd. (A-7) and M/s. Mam Chand Mahabir Prasad
Pvt. Ltd. (A-8), Pramod Kumar Varshney (A-5) for self
and for M/s. Vintage Decor Ltd. (A-9) and M/s. Green
Valley Decor Pvt. Ltd. (A-10), Sukhdev Raj Khinchi (A-
11) and Ashok Kumar Raheja (A-12) are present on
bail.

Accused Ramesh Chander Juneja (A-6) is absent.

The matter was proceeding at the stage of reply and
arguments on the application(s) moved by the accused persons
and also arguments on the point of charge(s).

A separate application for exemption from personal appearance has been moved on behalf of accused Ramesh Chander Juneja (A-6) through E-mail. Heard. In view of submissions made and in the interest of justice, the said accused is allowed to be exempted from personal appearance for today only. However, (A-6) is directed to positively file hard copy of his exemption application with the Ahlmad of this Court on the NDOH.

The perusal of order dated 26.09.2020 shows that Ld. Counsel for (A-11) had moved three separate applications and vide order dated 03.10.2020, Ld. Counsel for (A-12) had also moved an application u/S. 227 CrPC.

Ld. PP for CBI seeks some time to file reply to the said application(s), as he submits that the IO was not well, therefore, he could not prepare the replies. Not opposed. Time granted in the interest of justice.

An application has been moved on behalf of CBI for conducting investigations u/S. 17 of PC Act 1988 by SI Devesh Pansari, CBI, BSFB, New Delhi, as it is orally stated besides the facts mentioned in para no. 3 of the said application that the earlier IO Inspector Aalok Tewari has already tendered VRS to his parent department i.e. State Bank of India. Therefore, it is submitted that the investigation has to be handed over to the Sub Inspector, who was earlier assisting him in this case.

Heard. In the interest of justice, the said application u/S. 17 of the PC Act is allowed, as no prejudice is going to be caused to the accused persons, as investigations have already been done in this case and charge sheet has already been filed and only further investigation is to be done.

In these circumstances, the application moved u/S. 17 of PC Act 1988 on behalf of CBI stands disposed off.

Put up for awaiting replies to be filed by the CBI with copies to the accused persons and arguments on all the pending application(s) and also arguments on the point of charge(s) on **06.11.2020** through video conferencing, on which date, as per the request of Ld. Defence Counsel(s) as well as prosecution, the next date of hearing in the matter through physical mode would be given, as the roster for the physical hearing for the month of November, 2020 has not been received by this Court.

IO/HIO be summoned for the NDOH.

(Sanjeev Aggarwal)
Special Judge (PC Act)(CBI)-02
Rouse Avenue District Court
New Delhi/22.10.2020