

CBI/278/2019
RC No. 6(E)/2015/BD1/CBI/BS&FC/ND
CBI v. M/s Green Valley Plywood Ltd. & Ors.
11.05.2026

(Present proceedings have been conducted on Hybrid Mode)

Present : Mr. Raj Kamal, Learned PP for CBI.
HIO/SI Dharmender Singh, physically present.
A-2 Jagmohan Kejriwal, A-3 Anju Kejriwal, A-6 Ramesh C. Juneja and A-11 Sukhdev Raj Khinchi, all through VC.
A-4 Mukesh Sharma, A-5 Pramod Kumar Varshney and A-12 Ashok Kumar Raheja, all physically present.
Mr. Shashi Ranjan, AR of A-8 M/s Mam Chand Mahabir Prasad Pvt. Ltd., through VC.
Ms. Trisha Mittal and Mr. Nishant Sharma, Ld. Counsels for A-2 and A-3, both physically present.
Ms. Tanvi Jain, Ld. Counsel for A-4, A-5 and A-8, physically present.
Ms. Shreya Lamba, Ld. Counsel for A-6, physically present.
Mr. Sewa Ram, Ld. Counsel for A-11, through VC.
Mr. Prem Chhetri and Mr. Neeraj, Ld. Counsels for A-12, both physically present.

1. In paragraph no. 6 of order dt. 27.04.2026, there is an inadvertent error. It was inadvertently written, "The aforesaid application dt. 13.04.2026 of A-4 stands **disposed of**." The said application dt. 13.04.2026 was of A-12 Ashok Kumar Raheja and *not* of A-4. The said portion (paragraph no. 6) of order dt. 27.04.2026 shall now read as follows, "The aforesaid application dt. 13.04.2026 of A-12 stands **disposed of**."

2. Vide order dt. 27.04.2026 (paragraph no.3), the Judicial Assistant (JA) of this Court was directed to paginate all the documents. The JA states that pagination of the entire file is underway and is likely to be completed within the next two

weeks. Once the pagination is done, learned defence counsels may inspect the file and follow the same pagination.

3. In terms of paragraph no. 4 of order dt. 27.04.2026, learned defence counsel Ms. Trisha Mittal states that she has taken photograph(s) of the relevant documents. However, it appears that Memo/*Panchnama* was not drawn up. In view of the submission at Bar of Ld. Defence counsel Ms. Trisha Mittal, there is no need now to draw the Memo/*Panchnama*.

4. HIO states that in terms of order dt. 27.04.2026 (paragraph 5.5), he has brought the unrelieved-upon document(s)-MR 6512/17. All the defence counsels who are physically present before the Court have inspected the same in the Ahlmad room. The said document(s) – MR 6512/17 – which is part of unrelieved-upon documents, be now returned to CBI office.

5. On a Court query, HIO states that out of 24 parties/agencies to whom the unrelieved-upon documents were returned, 21 of them have given him the copies thereof. He stats that three parties/agencies are yet to give back the copy of the unrelieved-upon documents. HIO shall make endeavour to bring the remaining unrelieved-upon documents by the next date of hearing.

6. CBI is yet to file reply to the applications of **A-6 and A-12** for inspection of the unrelieved-upon items.

7. List the matter on 28.05.2026.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RACC/New Delhi/11.05.2026