

CBI/278/2019
RC No. 6(E)/2015/BD1/CBI/BS&FC/ND
CBI v. M/s Green Valley Plywood Ltd. & Ors.
27.04.2026

(Present proceedings have been conducted on Hybrid Mode)

Present : Mr. Raj Kamal, Learned PP for CBI.
HIO/SI Dharmender Singh, physically present.
A-2 Jagmohan Kejriwal, A-3 Anju Kejriwal and A-11 Sukhdev Raj Khinchi, all through VC.
A-4 Mukesh Sharma, A-5 Pramod Kumar Varshney, A-6 Ramesh C. Juneja and A-12 Ashok Kumar Raheja, all physically present.
Mr. Shashi Ranjan, AR of A-8 M/s Mam Chand Mahabir Prasad Pvt. Ltd., through VC.
Ms. Trisha Mittal and Mr. Nishant Sharma, Ld. Counsels for A-2 and A-3, both physically present.
Mr. Piyush Kumar, Ld. Counsel for A-4, A-5 and A-8, physically present.
Ms. Shreya Lamba, Ld. Counsel for A-6, physically present.
Mr. Sewa Ram, Ld. Counsel for A-11, through VC.
Mr. Prem Chhetri, Mr. A.K. Bhatia and Ms. Divya, Ld. Counsels for A-12, all physically present.

1. On behalf of A-6 R.C. Juneja, an application under section 207 CrPC (section 230 of BNSS) is filed today with the prayer to inspect unrelieved-upon documents. Copy thereof supplied to CBI.
2. HIO states that out of the twenty four (24) individuals/institutions to whom the unrelieved-upon documents were returned, four (04) of them have *not* yet handed over copies of the same.
3. There is an application dt. 13.03.2026 of A-2 and A-3 under section 207 CrPC. Together with this application, there is Annexure A-1 containing a list in tabular form of documents/

statements qua which there are deficiencies, as per A-2 and A-3. The table contains the list of illegible/missing/cut documents as also documents qua which there is no pagination. The grievance of A-2 and A-3 with respect to the illegible/missing/cut documents were duly addressed on 27.03.2026 by supplying a fresh set thereof to them. Ld. Counsels for A-2 and A-3 state that the set of documents supplied to them on 27.03.2026 are legible and in order. The only other grievance that remains to be addressed in this application of A-2 and A-3 is qua pagination of the documents. This grievance can be suitably addressed by directing the Judicial Assistant of this Court to paginate all the documents which are there on the Court record; once, the pagination is done, learned counsels may inspect the file and follow the same pagination. It is ordered accordingly. This application stands **disposed of**.

4. A fresh application under section 207 CrPC on behalf of A-2 and A-3 is filed today. This application is taken up for disposal today itself. Submissions on this application heard. Together with this application, there is Annexure A-1 containing a list in tabular form of documents qua which there are deficiencies, as per A-2 and A-3. The table contains a list of dim and missing documents as also documents qua which there is no pagination. The grievance with respect to pagination has already been addressed in the preceding paragraph. As regards the dim documents, this Court is of the view that A-2 and A-3/their counsels may take photographs of the documents which they perceive to be dim from the Court record itself in the presence of

Ahlmad and the HIO/authorised official from CBI/Ld. PP for CBI. The Ahlmad shall record a memo/*panchnama* of the said proceedings. The Defence Counsels for A-2 and A-3 may commence this exercise with effect from today itself. It is hoped and expected that this exercise would conclude before the next date of hearing. Now with respect to the document (D-320, page no. 0000442) which is alleged to be missing. In this regard, it suffices to note that A-2 and A-3/their counsels may inspect the judicial record to verify this. If the said document is there on the judicial record, they may take photograph of the same from the Court record itself in the presence of Ahlmad and the HIO/authorised official from CBI/ Ld. PP for CBI. The Ahlmad shall record a memo/*panchnama* of the said proceedings. However, if the said document is *not* actually there in the Court record, it shall be taken that no such document (D-320, page no. 0000442) was filed by the prosecution. With this, this application of A-2 and A-3 filed today itself stands **disposed of**.

5. At this stage, CBI files reply to the application dt. 13.04.2026 of A-12 under section 207 of CrPC, whereby and whereunder direction is sought to the CBI to furnish certain documents, along with few other reliefs. Copy of the reply supplied to learned counsel for A-12. Submissions on this application heard. Record perused.

5.1 The first prayer in the application is for supply of enclosures to Supplementary chargesheet no.2. Learned counsels for A-12 state that they have received the copy of the said enclosures today itself together

with the reply. Signatures of learned counsels of A-12 be taken on the ordersheet as acknowledgment of their statement made today at Bar.

5.2 The second prayer is for supply of document(s) at D-314, if any. Learned PP for CBI states that D-314 does *not* exist either in the record of CBI or in the Court record. He goes to state that D-313 and D-315 exist, however inadvertently D-314 was missed out while numbering the documents.

5.3 The third prayer is for supply of statement(s) of PW62. Learned PP for CBI states that there is *no* individual cited as a witness at Sr. No. 62 of the list of prosecution witnesses. He goes to state that there are witnesses at Sr. no. 61 and Sr. No. 63 in the list of prosecution witnesses, however inadvertently PW62 was missed out while numbering the prosecution witnesses.

5.4 The fourth prayer is for supply of supplementary chargesheet no.3 to supplementary chargesheet no.11. Learned PP for CBI states that *no* such supplementary chargesheets (from no. 3 to no. 11) were filed. He goes to state that there are three chargesheets : (i) main chargesheet/final report no.1 dt. 30.06.2017, (ii) chargesheet (supplementary) no.2 dt. 16.11.2017; and (iii) chargesheet (supplementary) no.12 dt. 15.04.2024.

5.5 The fifth prayer is for direction to the CBI to

produce a document (MR 6512/17). From the submissions at Bar it appears that this document is a part of unrelieved-upon items. It was suggested to learned defence counsels for A-12 that this prayer would be taken up for arguments together with the other applications of other accused persons for inspection of the unrelieved-upon documents. However, they submit that as of now they want to see *only* this particular document (MR 6512/17) so as to prepare their defence. It is hereby directed that A-12/his counsels may inspect the said document (MR 6512/17) which is part of the unrelieved-upon items. This inspection shall be limited only and only to the said document i.e. MR 6512/17. On the next date of hearing, the HIO shall bring this document and on which date the counsels of A-12 (as also other counsels) may inspect the same in the Ahlmad room of this Court.

6. The aforesaid application dt. 13.04.2026 of A-4 stands **disposed of.**

7. As on date, the pending applications under section 207 CrPC are listed out in tabular form as under:

S. No.	Application	Status
1.	under section 207 CrPC dt. 13.04.2026 of A-12 for inspection of unrelieved-upon items.	CBI's reply <i>not</i> filed.

2.	under section 207 CrPC (section 230 BNSS) dt. 27.04.2026 of A-6 for inspection of unrelayed-upon items.	CBI's reply to be filed the next date of hearing.
----	---	---

8. List on 11.05.2026. Order *dasti* to **HIO**.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RACC/New Delhi/27.04.2026