

CT Case No. 43/2025  
u/s 44 and 45 of PMLA, 2002  
ED v. Surender Kumar Bhandoria  
22.12.2025

Present : Sh. Atul Tripathi, Ld. Special PP for ED along with Advocate Shubham Mishra, both physically present.  
IO/Assistant Director Saurabh, through VC.  
Abhishek Mahal, Ld. Counsel for the proposed accused, physically present.  
Proposed accused Dr. Surender Kumar Bhandoria, through VC.

1. With the consent of both the sides, this matter is taken up in the pre-lunch session.
2. Ld. Counsel Sh. Abhishek Mahal submits that his concern regarding the documents that were dim/illegible have been duly addressed by Ld. SPP Mr. Atul Tripathi, except for one document which is at page no. 250 of ED's complaint. Ld. SPP Mr. Atul Tripathi submits that this (page no. 250 of ED's complaint) is copy of an envelope that was submitted by one Mr. Pramod Kumar to the ED during the stage of recording of his statement under section 50 of PMLA. Ld. SPP Mr. Atul Tripathi submits that ED does not have the original of this document. Nonetheless, the copy of this document that is available on Court record is legible. Counsel Sh. Abhishek Mahal has taken a photograph of this document and he states that contents of this document are legible in the photograph so taken by him.
3. With this, the only remaining issue in the application of the

proposed accused under section 230 of BNSS that was filed on 17.12.2025 is with respect to supply of list of unrelayed upon documents and the statements. Part arguments on this application heard on behalf of ED. During the course of his arguments Ld. SPP relies on the judgment dt. 07.05.2025 of the Apex Court in Sarla Gupta & Anr. v. Directorate of Enforcement, Criminal Appeal No. 1622/2022. Arguments on this aspect shall continue on 12.01.2026.

(M. P. Singh)  
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02  
RACC/New Delhi/22.12.2025