

CBI/134/24
CNR No. DLCT11-000146-2024
RC No. DAI-2015-A0043/CBI/ACB/New Delhi
CBI v. Sanjay Pratap Singh & Ors.

19.01.2026

Present: Mr. Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI.

IO/Inspector Ravinder Kumar Bharti, physically present.

A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh, **A-2** Ms. Aparna Singh and **A-3** Ajay Kumar, through VC.

Mr. Debopriyo Moulik, Ld. Counsel for **A-1** and **A-2**, through VC.

Mr. Abhay Tripathi, Ld. Counsel for **A-3** Ajay Kumar, through VC.

None for **A-4** M/s Ultra Home Construction Pvt. Ltd.

1. At the very outset, IO/Inspector Ravinder Kumar Bharti today places on record copy of the withdrawn sanction order dt. 22.05.2023 along with three other documents : (a) covering letter dt. 31.05.2023 of Ministry of Home Affairs addressed to CBI, (b) letter dt. 23.10.2012 of one Mr. P. K. Misra addressed to the Director, CBI, and (c) copy of Office Memorandum dt. 10.11.2008 of DoP&T. The documents so filed by him comprise seven (07) pages. A complete copy of these documents has been placed on record for A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh and may be collected by him personally or through his counsel, subject to acknowledgment.

2. In view of the above, notice as directed on 17.01.2026, if not

already issued, be not issued to Secretary, Ministry of Personnel, Public Grievances and Pensions Department of Personnel & Training, North Block, New Delhi.

3. Arguments on multiple applications under section 207 of CrPC / section 230 of BNSS of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh and A-2 Aparna Singh were heard on 13.12.2025. Record perused. Details of the said applications are as under:

Sr. No.	Date of the application	Applicant	Remarks
1.	01.05.25	A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh	Disposed of on 17.01.2026
2.	20.09.25	A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh	--
3.	20.09.25	A-2 Aparna Singh	Disposed of on the date of the arguments itself i.e. 13.12.2025
4.	27.10.25	A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh	--
5.	27.10.25	A-2 Aparna Singh	--

4. The second application dt. 20.09.2025 of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh under section 207 CrPC is being taken up for disposal. By way of this application, A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh prays to this Court to ‘direct the CBI to confirm issuance and/or receipt of the documents mentioned at Annexure A1 and annexed as Annexure A2 - A6’. The description of the documents (as set out in Annexure A-1 of the application) qua which A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh has filed this

application shall be taken note of in a tabular form in later part of this order.

5. It is A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh's averment in the application that these documents (as mentioned in Annexure A-1) find no mention in the list of either the relied upon documents or the unrelieved upon documents, though the same have been issued by the prosecuting agency and response thereto was addressed to them. He further states that he recently found these documents while perusing his records of communications exchanged with the Investigating Officer. On these averments, he seeks a '*confirmation*' from the CBI about their issuance and/or receipt.

6. CBI filed its reply dt. 09.10.2025 to this application. It is CBI's submission that these documents are correspondences exchanged between A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh and the IO; that the only purpose of filing this application is to delay the trial, for on the previous dates it was admitted that compliance of section 207 CrPC was complete and no further documents were required by them. The document-wise response of the CBI qua the five (05) documents referred to in Annexure A-1, forming the subject matter of the application filed by A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh, is taken note of in tabular form in later part of this order. CBI seeks dismissal of this application.

7. The five (05) documents qua which A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh seeks '*confirmation*' of their issuance and/or receipt by the CBI, along with the corresponding responses of the Investigating Officer in relation to each such document, are set out in tabular form

hereunder:

Sr. No.	Description of documents of which A-1 seeks <i>confirmation</i> of issuance/receipt from IO	IO's response as set out in the reply.
1.	Representation dated 26.12.2017 issued by the Applicant to Dy. Secretary Services, GNCTD which was forwarded to the CBI along with original postal receipt along with confirmation of forwarding of the same by the GNCTD and subsequent <u>return</u> due to technicalities.	IO has received an advanced copy of representation by post from accused SP Singh addressed to Dy. Secretary Services, GNCT of Delhi on 16.01.2018 and also the same duly forwarded by GNCT of Delhi vide their letter no. F.8/37/86/S.I/Pt.File-VI/35 dated 04.01.2018 enclosing several documents, which were un-paginated and uncertified by the accused applicant or by the GNCT of Delhi. <u>The same was returned to the GNCT of Delhi for providing paginated and certified copy of the same.</u>
2.	Representation dated 31.01.2018 issued by the Applicant to Dy. Secretary Services, GNCTD which was forwarded to the CBI in compliance with the requirements communicated to the GNCTD on 25.01.2018 along with proof of the same being forwarded by GNCTD.	This is the letter issued by the accused/applicant to Sh. Ashwani Kumar Mehta, Dy. Secretary Services, GNCTD, copy to IO in reference to letter no. F.8/37/86/S.I/Pt.File-VI/248 dated 25.01.2018 of GNCT of Delhi. Accused/applicant has mentioned that, now he is submitting duly signed copies and original is with accused/applicant.
3.	Letter dated 20.07.2018 issued by the Applicant to the CBI clarifying certain clerical errors in the Representation dated 26.12.2017.	This is the communication sent by accused applicant to IO.
4.	Notice dated 26.12.2019 bearing No. RC 43(A)/2016/DLI/14974 issued by CBI to the Applicant.	This is the communication made by IO to accused/applicant.
5.	Letter dated 08.01.2020 issued by the Applicant to the CBI in response to Notice dated 26.12.2019 bearing No. RC 43(A)/2016/DLI/14974.	This letter was not received by the IO.

8. It is evident that the limited relief prayed for in this application is confined to seeking '*confirmation*' from the CBI about

issuance/receipt of the aforesaid documents. The Investigating Officer, in his reply, has already furnished a specific and document-wise response in respect of each of the five (05) documents, and which responses have been duly taken note of in tabular form hereinabove. It is quite evident from the response of the IO that he has given his confirmation, whether in the positive or in negative, about issuance/receipt of all the five (05) documents.

9. As regards the document at Sr. No. 5, which is a letter dt. 08.01.2020 of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh, it was pointed out by learned defence counsels for A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh that the same had been dispatched to Ranvijay Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, CBI vide speed post (ED597152230IN) on 08.01.2020. It was thus urged that the same in the ordinary course of business must have been delivered to the addressee. And as such, it was argued, the response of the IO qua document at Sr. No. 5 is incorrect. At this stage of proceedings under section 207 CrPC, this Court does not deem it appropriate to embark upon a roving or detailed inquiry, much less a mini-trial, for the purpose of ascertaining or adjudicating upon the question as to whether the said letter dt. 08.01.2020 of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh was, in fact delivered to Sh. Ranvijay Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police, CBI. Such an exercise would be completely beyond the limited scope of consideration at this juncture.

10. This Court is of the view that no further action is required on this count, as the responsibility to '*confirm*' the issuance and/or receipt of the aforesaid five (05) documents rested with the Investigating Officer/CBI, and the same stands duly discharged.

11. With this, the application dt. 20.09.2025 of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh under section 207 CrPC stands **disposed** of.

12. Order on the fourth application dt. 27.10.2025 of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh shall be pronounced on 21.01.2026.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RACC/New Delhi/19.01.2026