

CC No. 134/24
CNR No. DLCT11-000146-2024
RC No. DAI-2015-A0043/CBI/ACB/New Delhi
CBI v. Sanjay Pratap Singh & Ors.

20.09.2025

(Present proceedings have been conducted on hybrid mode).

Present: Sh. Raj Kamal, Ld. PP for CBI with IO/Inspector Ravinder Kumar Bharti.
A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh, A-2 Ms. Aparna Singh and A-3 Ajay Kumar, through VC.
Ld. Counsels Shree Prakash Sinha and Sh. Debopriyo Moulik for A-1 and A-2, physically present.
Counsel Abhay Tripathi for A-3 Ajay Kumar, physically present.
None for A-4 M/s Ultra Home Construction Pvt. Ltd.

1. An application under section 207 of CrPC is filed today on behalf of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh along with 5 documents. Copy of the same is supplied to the IO. Another application under section 207 of CrPC is filed today on behalf of A-2 Aparna Singh along with 7 documents. Copy of the same is supplied to the IO. IO seeks time to file reply to these two applications.

2. There is an application dt. 01.05.2025 (IA No. 01/25) of A-1 Sanjay Pratap Singh pending consideration. By way of this application A-1, invoking section 207 of CrPC, sought supply of several documents. All documents, except for four documents, as sought for by A-1 has so far been supplied to him. The four documents which are not supplied to him and which are contentious are as follows (as per Annexure – A-1 of the said application of A-1):

Sr. No.	Description of documents	Status
1.	Ministry of Home Affairs Letter No.14033/02/2021.UTS-I dated 12.07.2024.	As per the IO, this document is neither part of relied or unrelieved upon documents.

2.	Amended / Corrected Copy of Sanction Order dated 22.05.2023.	As per the IO, this document is neither part of relied or unrelies upon documents.
3.	Sanction Order dated 22.05.2023.	As per the IO, this document is neither part of relied or unrelies upon documents.
..	Eight pages (page no. 7291 to 7295 and page no. 7569 to 7571 of the chargesheet) which are apparently in Mizo language.	Accused requires translated copy of the same.

3. Insofar as the translation of the documents which are apparently in Mizo language is concerned, the IO has today supplied a translated copy of the same (7 pages) to counsels for A-1 and A-2 as also to counsel for A-3. Counsels for A-1 and A-2 state that with this their stand *vis-a-vis* this document stands resolved. This Court only wishes to add that a translation can never capture the exact sense or the essence of the original text.

4. Ld. Counsels for A-1 and A-2 state that they would like to address arguments on all the pending applications under section 207 of CrPC on the next date of hearing. List for arguments on 09.10.2025.

(M. P. Singh)
Special Judge (PC Act) CBI-02
RACC/New Delhi/20.09.2025